

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/244,291

Applicant(s)

LEWALLEN, STEPHEN

Examiner

Andy Ho

Art Unit

2194

All Participants:(1) Andy Ho.(2) Paul E. Kudirka, Reg. No. 26,931.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2006**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The claims are allowable over the cited references. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issue in claim 21. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____